United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITE	D S	TATES OF AMERICA	ORDER OF DETENTION	
V.	∽ ⊔	Dorling In	PENDING TRIAL	
vviillar	ΠП.	Darling, Jr.	Case Number: 1:05-cr-00216	
facts re	In a quire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § the detention of the defendant pending trial in thi	3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following is case.	
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense descrioffense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. an offense for which the maximum sentence		
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compara The offense described in finding (1) was commit or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1).(2) and (3) establish a rebuttal	idant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described able state or local offenses. Ited while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state id since the date of conviction release of the defendant from g (1). Itel ble presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will on(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not	
П	(1)	Altern There is probable cause to believe that the defe	nate Findings (A)	
	. ,	for which a maximum term of imprisonment under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption	of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act n established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions fendant as required and the safety of the community.	
X	(1) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will no	tate Findings (B) at appear. adanger the safety of another person or the community.	
	l fin		ement of Reasons for Detention omitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that	
		dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to dant has been in state custody, and would not be	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
appeal. the Unit	ons f The ted S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attacility separate, to the extent practicable, from per defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opport tates or on request of an attorney for the Governr	ions Regarding Detention corney General or his designated representative for confinement in a ersons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending tunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court o ment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
October 18, 2005			/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
Date			Signature of Judge	
			Ellen S. Carmody, United States Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judge	